

22511  
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

**APPLICATION  
FOR  
UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT**

**TITLE: SYSTEMS AND METHODS OF HYDROCARBON  
DETECTION USING WAVELET ENERGY  
ABSORPTION ANALYSIS**

**APPLICANT: Eugene LICHMAN**

“Express Mailing” Label No: EV322008857US

Date of Deposit: August 19, 2003

# SYSTEMS AND METHODS OF HYDROCARBON DETECTION USING WAVELET ENERGY ABSORPTION ANALYSIS

## BACKGROUND

**[0001]** Existing seismic exploration methods primarily focus on the properties of the sound-reflecting boundaries present in the earth's interior. These methods are founded on theoretical conclusions and experimental observations that the strength of the sound reflection from the boundary itself is determined by certain lithological properties of rock within the layer above and the layer below this boundary.

**[0002]** However, reflection-boundary based methods are indirect at best. Reflections at each point on a boundary depend on no less than seven variables (P-wave velocity above, S wave velocity above, density above, P wave velocity below, S wave velocity below, density below, and angle). The interplay between these variables makes it difficult to determine any particular one with accuracy. Even under theoretically ideal measurement conditions, boundary-based theories sometimes fail. For example, the acoustic impedance contrast between sand and shale disappears in a wide age/compaction range, thus preventing or nearly preventing any boundary reflection at all.

**[0003]** When employing reflection-boundary based methods, it often becomes necessary to rely on additional "outside" information to interpret the seismic data. The additional data may come in the form of hypothesized models of the subsurface structure and data ("logs") from existing wells. (Typically, data from well logs is extrapolated away from the well bore along reflecting boundaries.) However, combining such forms of outside data with seismic data requires the use of additional assumptions that may or may not be valid. In the case of extrapolated well log data,

there is no way to tell when the quality of the extrapolation has degraded to the point where more harm than good is being done.

**[0004]** Efforts to refine reflection-boundary based methods continue. Various existing or proposed methods employ neural networks (with “supervised” or “unsupervised” training) that combine large numbers of attributes to construct a reservoir model. Still other methods are inversion-based, combining well data, geophysical data, geologic data, reservoir engineering data, and geo-statistical data to construct a reservoir model. These methods have proven to be extremely complex (and expensive), involving many professionals from different disciplines in a chain that can be limited by its weakest link.

**[0005]** Accordingly, it would be desirable to have a reliable method of hydrocarbon detection that does not rely on reflection-boundary analysis, outside information, or unduly complex models.

## SUMMARY

**[0006]** Disclosed herein are systems and methods of hydrocarbon detection based on wavelet energy absorption analysis. One disclosed hydrocarbon detection method embodiment comprises: a) obtaining seismic trace data for a region of interest; and b) processing the seismic trace data to determine at least one wavelet energy absorption factor as a function of position within the region of interest. Another disclosed hydrocarbon detection method embodiment comprises: a) receiving from a user an indication of a region of interest in a seismic data set; and b) generating a display of wavelet energy absorption anomalies within the region of interest. One of the disclosed system embodiments comprises a memory and a processor. In this system embodiment, the memory stores hydrocarbon detection software that, when executed by the

processor, configures the processor to determine at least one wavelet energy absorption factor from seismic trace data.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

**[0007]** For a detailed description of various embodiments of a pulsation dampening apparatus and related methods, reference will now be made to the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 shows an illustrative context in which hydrocarbon detection systems and methods may be employed;

FIG. 2 shows a block diagram of various illustrative hydrocarbon detection systems;

FIG. 3 shows a flowchart of an illustrative seismic data acquisition method;

FIG. 4 shows a flowchart of an illustrative hydrocarbon detection method;

FIG. 5 shows a flowchart of an illustrative time interpolation method;

FIG. 6 shows a flowchart of an illustrative wavelet extraction method;

FIG. 7 shows a flowchart of an illustrative dispersion compensation method;

FIG. 8 shows a wavelet spectrum and an illustrative spectrum model;

FIGS. 9A, 9B, and 9C illustrate various stages of the method of FIG. 4;

FIG. 10 shows an illustrative display of absorption factor anomalies; and

FIG. 11 shows another illustrative display of absorption factor anomalies.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

**[0008]** The following discussion is directed to various illustrative embodiments of the invention. Although one or more of these embodiments may be preferred, the embodiments disclosed should not be interpreted as limiting the scope of the disclosure or the claims. To the contrary, one skilled in the art will understand that the following description has broad application, and the

discussion of any embodiment is meant only to be illustrative of that embodiment. The scope of the invention is delimited only by the attached claims.

**[0009]** Various hydrocarbon detection systems and methods are disclosed below. These systems and methods are not based on reflection-boundary analysis, but instead are based on changes to the seismic waves as they propagate through subsurface formations. These changes are extracted and parameterized to fit a physically based model. When displayed in appropriate form, the parameters are indicative of both liquid and gas hydrocarbon reservoirs.

**[0010]** FIG. 1 shows an illustrative context for use of the disclosed systems and methods. A seismic source 102 such as a vibrator truck, a small explosion, or an air gun (in underwater surveys), generates seismic waves that propagate through subsurface formations 104. As shown by a selected propagation path 106, the seismic waves reflect and refract at boundaries between subsurface formations 104, and eventually some of the reflected seismic waves reach an array of receivers 108. The array typically includes hundreds of receivers 108 spaced in a grid pattern. Receivers 108 convert seismic waves into electrical signals that are then recorded at a recording facility 110 such as a recorder truck. Eventually the recorded data is transported or transmitted to a central facility 112 for analysis.

**[0011]** Seismic source 102 typically fires multiple times at different locations relative to the array of receivers 108. The array of receivers 108 may then be moved and the process may be repeated many times. The use of multiple source and receiver locations allows data from different propagation paths to be combined in a manner that attenuates noise.

**[0012]** FIG. 2 shows a block diagram of various systems and devices employed in gathering and analyzing seismic data. Detectors 202, 204, and 208, are transducers that convert seismic waves into electrical signals that are then amplified. Analog-to-digital converter (ADC) blocks 208, 210,

and 212, receive the amplified electrical signals from detectors 202, 204, and 208, respectively. ADC blocks 208, 210, and 212, filter the amplified electrical signals and convert them to digital form. Digital sampling is performed at an interval of, for example, 1-4 milliseconds. Each receiver 108 may include at least one detector and ADC block.

**[0013]** A bus 214 couples ADC blocks 208, 210, and 212 to a recording system 216. Bus 214 is a simplified representation of multiple cables and/or wireless connections with corresponding adapters.

**[0014]** Illustrative recording system 216 includes a processor 218, a storage device 220, a user interface 224, and a network interface 226. Recording system 216 may also couple to a portage storage device 222. Processor 218 collects and formats the digital data from the receivers and stores the digital data in files on storage device 220 or portable storage device 222. The files typically include header information regarding the data in the file, e.g., the number of array receivers, the bit resolution of the digitized samples, the sampling rate, the starting time and length of the recording period, and the positions of the source and each receiver in the array. The seismic data samples are typically multiplexed and written into the file as they are received. A new file may be created for each firing of the seismic source 102.

**[0015]** The manner of collecting and recording the data may be controlled via a user interface 224. Typically, user interface 224 includes a display upon which processor 218 shows options that can be configured by the user, and a keypad or other input device that the user can use to communicate the desired configuration to the processor 218.

**[0016]** Once surveying is completed, the seismic data files may be transported or transmitted to a hydrocarbon detection system 230 via portable storage device 222 or network interface 226. Files transmitted via network interface 226 may be transmitted directly to system 230, or

alternatively may be stored at an intermediate network storage device 228 and there made available for retrieval by system 230.

**[0017]** Hydrocarbon detection system 230 may be a general-purpose computer configured for operation as a hydrocarbon detection system through the use of software. System 230 includes a processor 232, a network interface 234, a memory device 236, a storage device 238, an input device 240, and a display device 240. Network interface 234 may couple processor 232 to recording system 216 and/or to network storage device 228, allowing processor 232 to retrieve software and data stored on recording system 216 and/or network storage device 228. Software (stored on memory device 236, storage device 238, or network storage device 228) configures processor 232 to interact with a user via input device 240 and display 242.

**[0018]** The user may cause processor 232 to perform a seismic data file processing program stored on storage device 238 or on network storage device 228. Processor 232 typically begins program execution by causing some or all of the program to be copied into memory 236 for fast access. With guidance from the user, the data file processing program retrieves seismic data files from portable storage device 222 or from network storage device 228 if they are not already present on local storage device 238. The data file processing program then performs pre-stack processing on the data, stacks the data, and stores the stacked data as a new seismic data set.

**[0019]** The user may then cause processor 232 to execute a hydrocarbon detection program. As with the data file processing program, processor 232 begins execution by coping the hydrocarbon detection program into memory 236. With guidance from the user, the hydrocarbon detection program configures processor 232 to retrieve traces from the raw seismic data files or from the stacked seismic data set. The hydrocarbon detection program configures processor 232 to

process the traces as described in greater detail below, eventually producing a hydrocarbon map or volume for viewing by the user.

**[0020]** The following discussion describes various illustrative methods implemented by system 230. The corresponding figures show the methods in the form of flowcharts having blocks to represent component operations, and arrows to represent potential operation sequences. System 230 may carry out the component operations of the various methods in the sequences shown, or alternatively, many of the operations may be re-ordered, or even performed concurrently, without impairing the usefulness of the methods. The methods are ultimately carried out by hardware, but the methods' control logic may be implemented in the software, firmware, or hardware of system 230.

**[0021]** FIG. 3 shows a flowchart of an illustrative method 300 to obtain a seismic data set, including optional operations performed by a seismic data file processing program. In block 302, a recording system 216 acquires and records raw seismic data as described previously. In block 304, a hydrocarbon detection system 230 retrieves (with guidance from a user) the raw seismic data and reorders the digitized samples. As noted previously, recording system 216 stores the data as it is acquired, i.e., in time order. System 230 converts the data file format to a trace-based format, i.e., the digitized samples are reordered to provide a separate time sequence for each receiver. System 230 may further associate with each trace a map location that is halfway between the receiver and the seismic source.

**[0022]** Method 300 includes two optional blocks 306 and 308, which can be omitted independently of each other. In block 306, system 230 performs pre-stack processing, i.e., processing of individual traces to increase the signal to noise ratio with minimum distortion of the signal. This may take various forms such as low pass filtering, matched filtering, or phase

inversion deconvolution. Phase inversion deconvolution is described in E. Lichman, "Phase Inversion Deconvolution for Surface Consistent Processing and Multiple Attenuation", SEG 69<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting Expanded Abstracts, pp 1299-1302. In brief, phase inversion deconvolution involves taking a Fourier Transform of the trace to obtain a trace spectrum, determining a cepstrum of the trace spectrum, separating wavelet information in the cepstrum from the reflectivity and noise information, calculating a wavelet spectrum from the cepstrum wavelet information, and performing an inverse Fourier Transform to obtain the deconvolved trace.

**[0023]** In block 308, system 230 identifies for each map location those traces having the map location as a midpoint between the receiver and the seismic source. These traces may be sorted based on offset, i.e., the distance between the map location and the receiver. System 230 then averages ("stacks") the identified traces having a common offset. Note that in some stacking variations, system 230 may stack all the identified traces for a map location, after first stretching the traces in the time domain as a function of offset and estimated velocities. Stacking operation 308 further enhances the signal to noise ratio of the traces. In one variation, system 230 also implements the phase moveout (PMO) correction method (or the residual phase moveout (RPMO) correction method) described in E. Lichman, "Automated Phase-based Moveout Correction", presented at the SEG 69<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting, 1999, Houston, TX, USA. In brief, these correction methods involve determining a phase spectrum from the minimum-offset trace and applying this phase spectrum to traces having larger offsets. These correction methods may be applied immediately before stacking.

**[0024]** In block 310, system 230 stores the reformatted (and optionally filtered and stacked) seismic data set on network storage device 228 or local storage device 238. Most seismic data processing software is configured to access seismic data in this trace-based format. Accordingly,

system 230 may conveniently perform multiple hydrocarbon detection techniques without repeating the foregoing operations.

**[0025]** FIG. 4 shows a flowchart of an illustrative hydrocarbon detection method 400. Beginning in block 402, system 230 identifies (with guidance from a user) a region of interest the seismic data volume represented by the seismic data set. The region of interest may include the entire seismic data volume, or the region of interest may be smaller, including a subset of the traces and/or a selected time or depth range in the traces. The user may indicate the region of interest using one or more text entry fields, motion of a pointer device, scroll bars, buttons, or other information entry techniques.

**[0026]** In block 404, system 230 begins working through the region of interest systematically, obtaining a first trace from the seismic data set. The trace may be copied into memory 236 for faster access.

**[0027]** In block 406, system 230 interpolates the trace in the time domain. Time interpolation is an optional operation that is designed to increase the accuracy of subsequent operations. Accordingly, the degree of interpolation is customizable, and may be chosen to be high enough to provide reliable spectra within small time windows. For example, a trace that is originally sampled at 125 Hz (1 sample every 4 milliseconds) may be interpolated by a factor of 8 to provide 32 time samples within a 16 millisecond time window. An illustrative method of time interpolation is described further below with reference to FIG. 5.

**[0028]** In block 408, system 230 begins processing the trace systematically, obtaining time samples from a first trace interval in the region of interest. The interval is the size of the selected time window, e.g., 16 milliseconds. This interval represents the first position of a “sliding window” that system 230 moves through the region of interest along the trace.

**[0029]** In block 410, system 230 appends a number of zeros to the end of the time samples and performs a Fourier Transform (such as a Fast Fourier Transform, or “FFT”) to determine a discrete frequency spectrum. The spectral resolution of the transform depends on the size of the time interval. By padding the time samples with zeros, the size of the time interval (and hence the spectral resolution) can be increased. Thus, for example, appending enough zeros to increase the time interval from 16 milliseconds (the size of the time window) to 4 seconds, the spectral resolution can be increased to 0.25 Hz per frequency transform coefficient.

**[0030]** In block 412, system 230 extracts a seismic wavelet from the frequency spectrum. More specifically, system 230 determines a wavelet spectrum from the frequency spectrum determined in block 410. An illustrative method of wavelet extraction is described further below with reference to FIG. 6.

**[0031]** An example of an extracted wavelet spectrum is shown in FIG. 8 as curve 806. Also shown in FIG. 8 is a parameterized model of the wavelet spectrum. The wavelet spectrum has a maximum amplitude at a dominant frequency  $\omega_D$ . The parameterized model is derived from physical phenomena that cause frequency-dependent absorption of seismic wave energy. Absorption caused by gas compression dominates at high frequencies, while absorption caused by liquid motion dominates at low frequencies. Accordingly, the parameterized mode includes a gas absorption curve 802 above the dominant frequency, and a liquid absorption curve 804 below the dominant frequency. The model is expressible as:

$$\hat{A}(\omega) = \begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 \omega^{Q_L} & \text{for } 0 \leq \omega < \omega_D \\ a_3 e^{-Q_G \omega} & \text{for } \omega > \omega_D \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\omega$  is frequency,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_3$  are constants,  $Q_L$  is a liquid absorption factor, and  $Q_G$  is a gas absorption factor. These wavelet energy absorption factors are independent of frequency but

strongly dependent on a number of lithological properties. For present purposes, it is sufficient to note that the liquid absorption factor  $Q_L$  increases with permeability and decreases as the liquid viscosity increases. If there is more than one liquid present in the pore space, then  $Q_L$  will be the relative permeability of the liquids. The gas absorption factor  $Q_G$  is proportional to the bulk volume of the gas, which is defined as the porosity times the gas saturation times the thickness of the reservoir.

**[0032]** After extracting the wavelet in block 412 (FIG. 4), system 230 compensates for velocity dispersion effects on the wavelet spectrum. An illustrative method of velocity dispersion compensation is described further below with reference to FIG. 7.

**[0033]** In block 416, system 230 identifies the dominant frequency  $\omega_D$  of the wavelet spectrum, i.e., the frequency at which the spectrum amplitude is a maximum. In block 418, system 230 determines the liquid absorption factor by fitting the liquid absorption curve 804 to the wavelet spectrum 806 at frequencies below the dominant frequency. A least-squares curve fitting technique may be employed to determine the optimal values of the constants  $a_i$  and the liquid absorption factor  $Q_L$ .

**[0034]** In block 420, system 230 determines the gas absorption factor by fitting the gas absorption curve 802 to the wavelet spectrum 806 at frequencies above the dominant frequency. Again, a least-squares curve fitting technique may be employed to determine the optimal values of the constant  $a_3$  and the gas absorption factor  $Q_G$ .

**[0035]** In block 422, system 230 determines whether the last time interval in the region of interest for the trace has been processed. If not, system 230 increments the sliding time window to its next position along the trace in block 424, and repeats the operations of blocks 410-424 until all the trace's time intervals that are in the region of interest have been processed. The

sliding increment provided in block 424 is configurable. The increment may be a fraction of the time window, and alternatively may be greater than the size of the time window.

**[0036]** Once gas and liquid absorption factors have been determined for each time window position in the region of interest on a trace, system 230 progresses to block 426 from block 422. At this point, system 230 the gas and liquid absorption factors can be shown as curves, i.e., plotted as a function of time for the trace. These liquid and gas absorption factor curves are of interest and may be saved for later processing. However, it is the anomalies of the liquid and gas absorption factors that are of particular interest to the present illustrative method. Thus, in block 426, system 230 processes the liquid and gas absorption factors to identify anomalies.

**[0037]** The processing in block 426 may take various forms. In some embodiments, the liquid and gas absorption factors are processed individually, whereas in different embodiments, anomalies are determined by combining the absorption factors in some fashion. As one particular example, system 230 determines a background curve for each absorption factor by using a “best fit” straight line. System 230 then determines that an anomaly exists where the gas or liquid absorption factor curve deviates from the “best fit” straight line by more than a threshold amount. Different threshold amounts may be employed for the gas and liquid absorption factors.

**[0038]** As another particular example, a high pass filter may be applied to a liquid or gas factor curve to identify relatively sudden changes in absorption factors. These changes may be taken as boundaries of an anomaly, and the original absorption factor curves may be used to determine anomaly magnitudes.

**[0039]** In block 428, system 230 determines whether the last trace in the region of interest has been processed to measure absorption factor anomalies. If not, system 230 selects the next trace in block 430, and repeats blocks 406-430 until absorption factor anomalies have been sought in

all traces in the region of interest. In some circumstances it may be unnecessary to process every trace, e.g. the desired resolution is less than the available resolution. In such circumstances, a pattern of traces may be selected, allowing some of the traces in the region of interest to be skipped.

**[0040]** Once all selected traces have been processed, in block 432 system 230 displays the absorption factor anomalies. The display format is configurable. Thus the absorption factor anomalies may be viewed as a function of one axis (e.g., a time axis for a trace), two axes (e.g., a map view or a vertical cross-section), or more. Examples of a three-axis image dependence include: a perspective view of a data volume, an animated "fill" of a data volume, and a perspective view of intersecting vertical cross-sections. Other forms of configurability are also contemplated. For example, the display may take the form of a printed image and/or a video image. The display format may use color, gray-scale, or contour lines to depict anomaly measurements. The anomaly measurements may be overlaid on views of seismic trace data. The liquid and gas anomalies may be displayed in separate images, or they may be combined into a single image. Finally, the display may be interactive, allowing the user to dynamically configure various display options. Other examples of display formats are discussed further below with respect to Figs. 10 and 11.

**[0041]** FIG. 5 shows an illustrative time interpolation method 500, which is suitable for implementing the operation of block 406 in FIG. 4. Beginning in block 502, system 230 performs a Fourier Transform (e.g., a fast Fourier Transform ("FFT")) on the trace, thereby determining the discrete frequency spectrum of the trace. Interpolation is accomplished by increasing the number of coefficients in the discrete frequency spectrum, e.g., increasing the number of coefficients from  $n$  to  $8n$  to interpolate by a factor of 8. In block 504, system 230 pads

the discrete frequency spectrum above the Nyquist frequency with zero-valued coefficients. In block 506, system 230 determines the inverse Fourier Transform of the padded discrete frequency spectrum. This inverse transform results in the desired time-interpolated trace.

**[0042]** FIG. 6 shows an illustrative wavelet extraction method 600, which is suitable for implementing the operation of block 412 in FIG. 4. Beginning in block 602, system 230 operates on the discrete frequency spectrum  $T(w)$  to calculate the real cepstrum  $C(t)$ . The real cepstrum may be calculated as:

$$C(t) = \text{DFT}^{-1}\{\ln|T(w)|\} \quad (2)$$

In words, system 230 determines the magnitude of the discrete frequency spectrum  $T(w)$ , calculates the natural logarithm of this magnitude, and performs an inverse Fourier Transform on this logarithm. The real cepstrum  $C(t)$  ranges from  $-t_{\max}$  to  $+t_{\max}$ , and is symmetric about the origin  $t=0$ .

**[0043]** Under certain measurement conditions described in Lichman, "Informational Capacity of Wavefield Measurements", J. of Computational Acoustics, Vol. 9, No. 4 (2001) 1395-1406, (which is hereby incorporated by reference) the cepstrum calculation segregates the wavelet information from the reflectivity information (and most of the noise information). These measurement conditions are nearly always satisfied. Accordingly, the wavelet information can be extracted in the cepstrum domain as the values between  $t_{\text{LOW}}$  and  $t_{\text{HIGH}}$ . The seismic source type and other measurement conditions may affect the optimal values of  $t_{\text{LOW}}$  and  $t_{\text{HIGH}}$ . In one embodiment,  $t_{\text{HIGH}}$  is a positive number fixed at 40% of  $t_{\max}$ , and  $t_{\text{LOW}}$  is a negative number having a magnitude approximately equal to that of  $t_{\text{HIGH}}$ . The value of  $t_{\text{LOW}}$  may be interactively adjusted based on the seismic source type (e.g., vibroseis, dynamite, air gun).

**[0044]** In block 604, system 230 zeroes all real cepstrum values outside the range  $t_{\text{LOW}}$  to  $t_{\text{HIGH}}$ , thereby obtaining a wavelet cepstrum  $W(t)$ . In block 606, system 230 calculates the wavelet spectrum  $A(\omega)$  from the wavelet cepstrum  $W(t)$  as follows:

$$A(\omega) = \exp[\text{DFT}\{W(t)\}] \quad (3)$$

In words, system 230 performs a Fourier Transform on the wavelet spectrum  $W(t)$ , and exponentiates each of the transform coefficients to obtain the wavelet spectrum  $A(\omega)$ .

**[0045]** FIG. 7 shows an illustrative compensation method 700 for velocity dispersion. Method 700 is suitable for implementing the operation of block 414 in FIG. 4. Beginning in block 702, system 230 measures the length  $L$  of the wavelet spectrum and determines a corresponding scale factor, e.g.,  $s=D/L$ , where  $D$  is a predetermined constant. A number of different length measurements may be employed. In one embodiment, the dominant frequency is used as a length measurement. In another embodiment, the length measurement is the first frequency (greater than the dominant frequency) at which the spectrum magnitude falls below a predetermined threshold value. In yet another embodiment, the length measurement is the 3dB width of the spectrum, i.e., the distance between the points at which the spectrum equals half the maximum value.

**[0046]** In block 704, system 230 scales the frequency axis, e.g.,  $A_{\text{comp}}(\omega) = A(s\omega)$ , wherein  $s$  is a scale factor chosen to standardize the “length” of the wavelet spectrum. System 230 may scale each wavelet spectrum to a predetermined length, e.g.,  $D=1$ . This scaling operation adequately compensates for velocity dispersion.

**[0047]** FIGS. 9A-9C illustrate various stages of method 400. FIG. 9A shows a set of seismic traces (albeit in analog form) from which a particular trace, trace 902, has been selected for processing. A time window (not specifically shown) is moved along the entire length of the trace,

and at each position a wavelet is extracted. Five specific positions are labeled as  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $t_3$ ,  $t_4$ , and  $t_5$ .

**[0048]** FIG. 9B shows a set of five extracted wavelet spectra that correspond to the five specific time window positions of FIG. 9A. Also shown are the least-squares fit gas absorption curves, i.e., the curves that were determined in block 420. The gas absorption factor  $Q_G$  has accordingly been determined for these five specific positions as well as all the other time window positions along trace 902.

**[0049]** FIG. 9C shows the gas absorption factor  $Q_G$  as a function of time window position. Also shown is a gas absorption background estimate. A strong anomaly is evident at a position corresponding to  $t_3$  in FIG. 9A.

**[0050]** FIG. 10 shows an illustrative display of absorption factor anomalies. The display of FIG. 10 includes three panels labeled (a) Pre-Stack Time Migrated (PSTM) stack, (b) Gas Factor, and (c) Liquid Factor. The vertical axis of each panel is a time axis, and the horizontal axis is a geographical position axis. Thus each panel shows a vertical cross-section of the formations. A vertical black line 1002 crosses the three panels at the location of a producing well (both gas and oil are produced).

**[0051]** The PSTM panel shows seismic wave amplitude data using color to indicate amplitude value. Many high amplitude bands cross the PSTM panel horizontally, making hydrocarbon detection difficult.

**[0052]** The gas factor panel shows the gas absorption factor anomalies calculated by system 230 from the PSTM stack data. As expected, a strong anomaly is present around the well position clearly identifying the concentration of gas. Several other ghostly anomalies are present in other

regions of the panel, but it is clear that the commercially available gas hydrocarbons are already being produced.

**[0053]** The liquid factor panel shows the liquid absorption factor anomalies calculated by system 230 from the PSTM stack data. As expected, a strong anomaly is present around the well position clearly identifying the liquid reservoir. A few other ghostly anomalies are present in other regions of the panel, but again it is clear that the commercially available liquid hydrocarbons are already being produced.

**[0054]** FIG. 11 shows another illustrative display. In the display of FIG. 11, the maximum absorption has been extracted from a 50 millisecond envelop bounded by two interpreted time horizons and then draped on a top time horizon which is at a depth of roughly 5,500 meters. The vertical axis represents position along a north-south axis, and the horizontal axis represents position along an east-west axis. The display shows gas absorption factor anomalies as a function of position, using color to indicate anomaly magnitude. At least ten strong anomalies are present, indicating locations of commercially viable concentrations of gaseous hydrocarbons. The strong anomalies are generally surrounded and connected by lesser anomalies.

**[0055]** Though the foregoing methods and operations have been described with respect to seismic trace data having a time axis, they may readily be adapted to seismic trace data having a depth axis.

**[0056]** While specific embodiments of the invention have been disclosed and described above, the invention is not limited by the discussion, but instead is limited only by the scope of the appended claims.